Interview Summary

Application No. 09/426,792

Applicant(s)

Mangano

Tary Examiner

Phyllis Spivack

Group Art Unit 1614



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):
(1) Phyllis Spivack	(3)
(2) Rahul Pathak	
Date of Interview Apr 8, 2002	-
Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal (copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-16 and 49-55</u>	
Identification of prior art discussed:	
any other comments: Mr. Pathak questioned the absence of recited claims in each	·
allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no available, a summary thereof must be attached.) i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORM INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MF already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.	dments which the examiner agreed would render the claims copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is trate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked). MAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST PEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has OM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE
SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Reco	rd of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

GROUP = 1614